P 942: The Court Room Technology Workshop for High Court Judges September 10- 13, 2015									
1	Prog     Ms. Jyoti Kumari       1     Coordinator								
2	No.of Participant	24							
3	No. of forms received	22							
		General Suggestion							
		1.The Course content could have been more exhaustive and technical.							
		2. Yes. There was repetition here and there which is inevitable.							
		3. Yes. Repetition could have been avoided.							
		4. Programme was very much logical.							
		5. Has to be more pragmatic with involvement of the person for whom it is intended. Their suggestion should be sought in advance and incorporated.							
		6. Programme structure was needlessly prolonged up to four days. This programme should have ideally been for two working days. A few sessions, such as library reading was absolutely unnecessary. Session of fuzzy logic was irrelevant for the purpose of this programme.							
1.	and Sequence of the Program was Logical: 8. The s repetitio of these 9. Whe comprisi analysis were inc	7. Content was repetitive. Part was highly technical which may be useful for technical teams and areas to be taken care of by them.							
		8. The structure and sequence was no doubt logical but there was a degree of repetition which I think was avoidable. A shorter duration could have taken care of these aspects, probably.							
		9. When the topic is Court Room Technology intended to be the evidence comprising of Judges of High Courts, focus ought to have been on in-depth analysis of various issues concerning ICT. Whereas some unconnected topics were included and there was repetition of presentations. It appears there was no pre-session assessment of the issues to be covered by presenters.							
		10. The earlier format of commencing the workshop from 10.00 am with only one break was more comfortable.							
		11. Overlapping and Repetition. Only Mr. Ukrani and Mr. Kaushik were able ot provide important informations. Other CPCs from different courts, instead of providing important information have narrated as to what their respective HCs							

have done so far.
12. There was repetition of certain subjects; Dr. Ashok's address appeared to be not fitting in the programme.
13. Fine; Not satisfactory; Not managed; Could be one day Conference.
14. Yes, almost. Being a programme of this kind, conducted for the first time, we can improve next time.
15 16. I found the progreamme well planned. I also feel there is scope of improvements for this kind of technology oriented programmes in future. The subjects cold be selected in such manner that there can be more direct engagement of participating judges. The sessions were primarily technology based, which benefitted me and added to our stock of knowledge. But there was inadequate opportunity for participation in cerebral discussions.
17. It would be more logical, if number of Sessions be reduced from 4 to three but the time span is increased. After each session there must be half hour at least left for interaction, if not one hour. One tea break may be dropped before lunch.
18. In the context of the subject of the workshop, three and half days programme felt to be lengthy, otherwise it was ok. It could have been better if the programme would have started at 9.30 am instead of 9.00 am and half an hour time court have been saved by skipping one break for tea.
19. In this area, a little more deep exercise may be taken in future, particularly in selecting the resource persons to avoid repetitive contents presented by the resource person, not only the topic but also the subtopics may be suggested beforehand. 20. Yes.
21. No. Too much material/information provided on day two and three . Presentations needlessly overlapping; could have easily been a two day programme with closure on the third day.
22. 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ days were unnecessary. Some programmes only took up time and were of no real use.
1. Hard ware and software for use in Court.
2. Instead of lecture session with participants , if one session had been like taking us to the nearby Bhopal District Court and make us see the working there, it

address through other	would have been good.
programmes relating to	3. Court Room Administration
technology related aspects	4. Sharing of various applications by High Courts would ensure lot of research.
being dealt by courts	5. NJA should prepare a detailed report after every programme of this nature and circulate it to the High Courts.
	<ol> <li>Functioning of e-courts</li> <li>7</li> </ol>
	8. Hardware and software specifications and the procurement avenues as in the E-Courts 2 <sup>ND</sup> phase, this responsibility has to be given to the High Courts.
	9. Customizing the topics, with specific focus on the critical issues. Optimum utilization of time without creating boredom.
	10 11. Practical training to operate computer using posting, loading, reading etc, etc,
	12. During discussion, many issues were raised which were noted by Ms. Oberoi, the Director. Those need to be addressed in other programmes.
	13. Steps suggested : 1. Basic training in Computers; 2. Knowledge of Technological Aspects; 3. Application of the computer knowledge in Law;
	14. Similar programmes of this kind will be fruitful.
	<ul><li>15</li><li>16. Subjects like audio or video recording of court proceedings need to be discussed and debated.</li></ul>
	17. Electronic recoding of evidence during the trial. Necessity of training of courts' staff about the maintained e- records, security and safety.
	18. Demonstration of workings in E-Courts (Paperless court)
	19. The model court's experience with their planning in future mapping.
	20. Training to judicial officers and supporting staff in the matter relating to recording of electronic evidence.
	21. Need for uniformity in system of E-Courts. Common database across Courts.
	22. Sharing of knowledge and achievements of different High Courts so a s to lead others forward.

		1 2. We have to implement the 14 <sup>th</sup> Planning commission in a war footing at the District Judiciary level. I learnt about Ubuntu and its importance.									
		3. Steps to be taken to digitizing the records and implementing e-courts immediately.									
		4. Advantages of e-courts which would speed up justice delivery system.									
		5. Became more aware of the steps taken by other High Courts and also how they were practically implementing them.									
		6. Steps to be taken by the SC e-committee in eh Phase I AND Phase II of the computerization programme; II. How the data base in NJDG can be gainfully utilized for improving the justice delivery system.									
		7. Progress in IT area in different High Courts shared.									
		8. Operational details necessary for setting up e-filing of cases.									
	Important Learning from the Programme	9. some of the material supplied is useful and educative. Interaction with osme o the presenters was useful and educative. 10									
3.		11. What steps are required to be taken for computerization of the courts.									
		12. There was exchange of views and information by the participants. We were sensitized abut the extent of work yet to be done to accomplish the targets to make the courts working paperless with minimum of security risks.									
		13. Advanced programmes- just got the introduction; Learning scope was less.									
		14. Knowledge sharing is very important. I could get some new ideas.									
		15 16. Overall knowledge accumulation on technology aspects of data storage.									
		17. The progress of different HCs in implementing information and communication Technology in court's functioning. Essentially its user in judicial proceedings. Various technical aspects and utility surfaced from the deliberations of experts.									
		18. Already stated in earlier column.									
		19. If regular sharing takes place, there would be solutions to many bottlenecks.									
		20. Better way to monitor progress of case from any place.									
		21. Advantages of digitalization in the State Judiciary									

		22. Knowledge of what others have done or are doing. Integration of ICT measures adopted by different High Courts for the benefit of all. Sharing of knowledge and difficulties faced by the different High Courts; Impetus to take each individual High Court forward on par with others.
		<ol> <li>1</li> <li>2. Please keep one practical session making the participants work on a system- especially the Ubuntu.</li> </ol>
		3. NJA can circulate study materials specially on e-courts and its lecture may be made accordingly.
		4. Reading material must be made available in advance or thorough email.
		5. There should be greater emphasis on interactive sessions.
		6. Please be a little more discreet in choosing resource persons. The presentation made by the CPCs, except those from Delhi, was found to be inadequate . 7
	Kindly make	8. Programme be limited to a maximum of three days, although in my opinion two days programme may be ideal.
4.	and Suggestion you may have on how NJA may Serve You better and make ifs	9. Make the programme customized and focused; the participants must be given information in advance of issues discussed in each session, the persons presenting the issue and dignitaries attending the programme and the details of the participants circulated in the opening session. 10
	Programme more effective:	11. Instead of calling Hon'ble Judges, only CPCs and responsible official from each Dist Court should be called and tained how to digitized, scanned, loaded, posted and how to create web page.
		12. There are stairs at different levels to go to the residential area that causes lot of stain on knees. Fruits may be made available in rooms everyday; Special attention may be paid to keep the swimming clean and hygienic; The Spa a must be functional at all times. The outlets in the bathroom area need to be more claea to rule out foul smell.
		So far as programme to be more effective, there is a lot of scope for improvement in its designing and content. As lot of energy and resources are consumed in a programme, it must be ensured that it has optimum use for the participants.
		13. Please send the scope of course before hand.
		<ul><li>14. Select good and proper resource persons.</li><li>15</li></ul>
		16. There is scope for improvement of reading materials; II. Accommodation of

		<ul> <li>contra-views would enhance the quality of programmes. For instance in this programme itself, some views of ethical hackers could be highlighted on the aspect of computer security. III. Some materials on dealing of the same isues in other jurisdictions or other countries would be helpful.</li> <li>17. Deliberations on current amendments. To ensure that reading materials aare reached to the participating Hon Justices at least before 2 weeks. Feedback must be obtained from the concerned Registrar General of the High Court.</li> <li>18</li> <li>19. The NJA may think of providing a platform for following up the shared knowledge online.</li> <li>20</li> <li>21. Please ask all participants to submit each High Court's E-Court project highlighting in sufficient detail to enable others to check and compare with their own , thereby evolving a more efficient system.</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>22. Shorten the length of the programme and make it more subject intensive.</li> <li>1. Various High Courts could be asked to share their respective progress made so that duplication of effort can be avoided. Suggestions can come which would help in perfecting the applications developed.</li> <li>2. Nil.</li> <li>3</li> <li>4. Common software and nomenclature of cases throughout the country needs to be put in place.</li> <li>5. The Resource Persons should be more professional and qualified so as to the protect of the protect of</li></ul>
5.	Any other Suggestion / remarks	<ul> <li>clear all doubts and answer all questions.</li> <li>6. Programmes to be structured for two days.</li> <li>7. There are many improvements made by different courts in many areas. Those should be share so that amount and money is not spent by other High Curts for same projects. All judges at High Court level need to be updated about the scheme and the importance thereof . Staff need to be educated and trained properly. Technical cadre required instead of adhocism. End users of the services i.e. litigants need to be educated. Websites of High Courts should have a capsule of IT services rendered and available to a litigant and advocates. When all judges of High Courts are educated on IT Projects, they can review the implementation in their respective areas assigned for inspection. They can review working of district courts in a better manner.</li> <li>8</li> <li>9. The programmes should be organized during Court holidays like Saturdays and Sundays and preferably when there is a long week end. Ensure Court hours are</li> </ul>

not lost. The programme should be focused and persons addressing the session should be selected for their expertise and credibility. Mere knowledge is not enough, Communication skill is important. What is the topic a peosn is dealing with should be known to participants in advance, including any material indtend to place in the session. Things like sitting in the Library, Computer Knowledge Skill training are not useful. On the contrary organize information across the dining table interactions in the evenings, over dinner with people who are likely to address the sessions next day.

10. Period of workshop can be shortened. Resource persons can eb chosen depending on the subject of the workshop.

11.-

12. –

13. When a topic is selected Please find out the best resource person; Hold a meeting with expert- ref topic; plan a programme with objectives to be achieved; No rationale for preventing spouses with judges who are above 55.

14.-

15.-

16.-

17. As above.

18.-

19. While making out a plan, if the well used approach instead of structural knowledge approach is followed, far more greater impact would be discerned.

20. Workshop should be more compactly programmed.

21. Please inform all High Courts/ Participating institutions that spouses are not allowed. I hear many have been embarrassed/inconvenienced.

22. Why does NJA shun English TV channels, including English News channels! Please furnish the names of the participating judges at the beginning instead of at the end of the programme.

SNo	Reading Material	Respondent No. 5. More choice of newspapers/ magazines Respondent No. 13. Sufficient available.
1	Travel	Respondent No. 9. Because of poor connectivity judicial work is suffering. Respondent No.13. Poor quality of cabs provided.
2	Food	Respondent No 9. Need to have more variety of food items and improve quality of food. Respondent No 21. Good quality
3	Protocol	Respondent No 13. Fair
4	Cleanliness	Respondent No 21. Bathroom: Need little sprucing up. Showers in particular ; Door does not shut; basin spout not fixed properly; Towels – too much bleach; Sit out tiles loose- needs fixing
5	Hygiene	Respondent No 21. Electric kettle in the Room (A-205) is not clean. Residue visible not useable/poor quality plastics not advisable either.
6	Staff Behavior	Respondent No 21 Courteous and helpful.
7	Others	Respondent No 13. Please change cushions of chairs

.

	the following: 0 Indicates no response																					
2	No.of Participant	20	20																			
3	No. of forms received	20 (01	20 (out of 20 forms, One participant did not respond to this parameter)																			
	Subject	Marks															Total mark					
	Respondent No	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	s out of 95
1	Reading Material	4	5	3	4	3	4	4	5	4	3	5	4	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	0	85/95
2	Travel	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	0	78/95
3	Protocol	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	3	4	3	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	0	82/95
4	Reception	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	0	85/95
5	Cleanliness	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	3	4	4	5	4	5	5	0	78/95
6	Comfort	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	0	82/95
7	Food	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	0	84/95
8	Hygiene	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	5	5	4	5	5	0	81/95
9	Staff Behavior	5	5	5	4	3	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	0	85/95
10	Hospitality	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	87/95

## Programme & Hospitality Mark Your Satisfaction, Ranging from 1(Very Poor) 2 (Poor) 3 (Fair enough) 4 (good) 5 (Excellent) for the following: 0 indicates no response

Prepared by Documentation / Communication & PR Unit of National Judicial Academy